

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/040,535	12/28/2001	Nigel J. Tolson	034942-268	9807
. 75	590 07/21/2005		EXAMINER	
Robert E Kreb	os		CHOW, CHAR	LES CHIANG
Thelen Reid &	Priest LLP			
PO Box 640640	PO Box 640640 ART UNIT F		PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95164-0640			2685	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/040,535	TOLSON, NIGEL J.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Charles Chow	2685				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	15 April 2005.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.					
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	, , ,				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force. All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·				

Art Unit: 2685

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rossi (US 5,587,924) in view of Kahn (US 3,683,130).

Regarding **claim 11**, Rossi teaches a filter apparatus [Fig. 5A] comprising an active twin-T filter [active notch filter 50a having R1-R3, C1-C2 formed in twin-T connection, Fig. 5A] and a passive notch filter network [the passive notch filter having I_A in series with C_A in 48a] coupled to the active twin-T filter [50a; col. 6, lines 3-15], wherein at least said active twin-T filter is operable to filter communications signal associated with a first wireless communication standard [the filter in Fig. 5A can be utilized in a mobile telephone, col. 2, lines 3-62, for removing the noise from battery supply Vbatt, for removing noise associated with communication circuit powered by the Vbatt, col. 6, lines 24-36]. It is considered that any communication signal entering this filter will be filtered, for the filtering of communication signal associated with the wireless first communication standard. Rossi does not clearly state the active notch filter 50a is a twin-t filter. However, Kan teaches that a notch filter is commonly be referred to as a twin-t filter [col. 1, lines 32-35]. Therefore, it would have been obvious that Rossi's active notch filter 50a is a twin-T filter, for an ordinary skill person to utilize the twin-t filter to perform the notch function.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,535 Page 3

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding **claim 12**, Rossi teaches the said passive notch filter network [48a] is operable to filter communication signals associated with a second wireless communication standard [passive filter 48a can filter a second wireless communication signal from the communication circuit powered by Vbatt in the mobile telephone device, col. 2, lines 3-62; col. 6, lines 24-36, and any communication signal entering this filter will be filtered].

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The primary reference Murtojarvi (US 2002/0168,956A1) has later filing date than applicant's filing date 12/28/2001 (pages 3-4 of applicant's amendment) and Claims 8-10 are allowed in the previous office action.

Claims 1-10 are allowable over the prior art of record, the prior art fails to teach singly, particularly, or in combination, the subject matter, for the **first active twin-T filter** in a **first signal path defining a first sharp notch at the center of a second adjacent channel**; and a <u>first passive twin-T filter section coupled to receive output of the first active twin-T filter, defining a second sharp notch at the center of a next adjacent channel, to **suppress spurious signals at frequencies of modulation product**, as shown in the independent claims 1, 6, 8, 11, for the <u>miniature battery powered portable dual mode</u> <u>quadrature receiver (Fig. 1) for operating in different channel spacing, 30 to 200 KHz, in system for IS136, Amps, PCS, GSM, Edge frequency band, to efficiently removing <u>unwanted image signal at the adjacent channel and next adjacent channel, by the sharper frequency response roll off characteristic of the twin-T filters.</u> The dependent claims are also allowable due to their dependency upon the independent claims and having additional claimed features.</u></u>

Application/Control Number: 10/040,535

Art Unit: 2685

The closest patent to Jayaraman et al. (US 2003/0087,622 A1) teaches a filter circuit apparatus (410c) for removing upper and lower adjacent channel interference ACI (Fig. 4, abstract, [0011-0014]) for the CDMA super heterodyne quadrature demodulation (Fig. 2, [0027, 0023-0026]. Jayaraman et al. fail to teach the passive twin-T filter is coupled to the active twin-T filter to provide a second sharper notch at the center of the next adjacent channel.

West (US 3,577,179) teaches a active twin-T filter 10 in cascading with active Twin-T filter 50, 60 for providing sharp frequency notch response [Fig. 1, notch response curve for stage 2 in Fig. 2; abstract, col. 1, lines 16-42). West fails to teach the passive twin-T filter is coupled to the active twin-T filter to provide a second sharper notch at the center of the next adjacent channel.

Other prior arts in below has been considered, <u>but they fail to teach the above claimed</u> <u>features.</u>

Murtojarvi (US 2002/0168,956 A1) teaches the active twin-T filter 8 coupled to the passive twin-T filter 10 (Fig. 7, [0066-0068]) for the sharper frequency notch filtering.

Anderson (US 3,579,135) teaches a twin-t notch filter (Fig. 1-6, abstract, col. 1, lines 5-53), the active bootstrapping topology configuration of the active twin-T filter, to sharpen up the filtering response curve (col. 4, line 5-20). Anderson teaches the improved stable active notch filtering network as shown in Fig. 2, with accuracy and efficiency for without tuning, for rejecting adjacent frequencies (col. 1, lines 11-32).

Daniels et al. (US 3,904,978) teaches the active twin-T filter for providing a fourth order transfer function for sharper attenuation of unwanted signal [abstract, Fig. 1, Fig. 6, col. 1, line 51 to col. 2, line 21].

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

Application/Control Number: 10/040,535 Page 5

Art Unit: 2685

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the

issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of

Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Charles C. Chow whose telephone number is (571) 272-7889. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Edward Urban can be reached on (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Chow C.C.

June 23, 2005.

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000